

THE DAILY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN is published every morning (Sundays excepted) by W. J. MURPHY & CO., and is furnished to city subscribers (by carrier) at 25 cents per month.

Mail subscribers, \$3.50 per annum; \$2 for six months, and \$1 for three months, invariably in advance.

Single copies, 5 cents.

EDITORIAL CRITIQUE OF MILITARY REPORTS.

It is a point very easily seen, that the junior of the *National Republican* is a gentleman of rare critical acumen and profound military knowledge. The recollections displayed by its erudition on these topics are truly wonderful.

"Take him for all in all, We never shall look upon his like again."

In the issue of this paper of Saturday last—true to the instincts of its antecedents, rather than to entertain the people as to what has been than to instruct them as to what is to be—it again takes up the Harper's Ferry report, and treats it as a subject of military interest, rather than as a subject of general interest, for the great purpose of airing its "military literature."

The contents of all this tedious twaddle is too transparent not to be perceived at a glance. In humble imitation of the rebel masked battery strategy, it makes a covert attack on the President, the Cabinet and General Halleck, thereby playing directly into the hands of armed traitors at the South, and their aiders and abettors at the North—an old game of the *Intelligencer*, as the inevitable whisper of its cowardly and temporizing policy.

In the first place, under the base pretence of "military criticism and literary composition," it aims a direct blow at General Halleck, by asserting that if General McClellan's march was slow from Washington, to attack Lee, General Halleck was slower from Pittsburgh Landing to Corinth; as if one delay, real or alleged, is a justification for another!

General Halleck is not on trial. When he is, it will be time enough for the *Intelligencer* to try on again its very astute "military criticism and literary composition." Until then, we most respectfully commend it to a careful study of its vast resources.

In the second place, this deeply learned reviewer attempts to show that this report abounds in "contradictions and misapprehensions." To prove this, it passes contemptuously by the general comments of the press, as embracing only "a few partisan phrases," and quotes, with great gusto, a solitary phrase of the New York *Tribune*, as coming from the only "intelligent journal" that has "ventured anything like an apology for the document." *Prosa!* What a change has come over the spirit of the dream of the venerable *Intelligencer*! How long is it since that paper has ceased to call the *Tribune* a "partisan sheet" of the most intense character? What its purpose induces it to quote that "radical" as "a single intelligent journal!"

Tempora mutantur, et nos mutamur in illis! Surely, the millennium cannot be far off, when the Washington *Intelligencer* quotes approvingly from the New York *Tribune*.

Now, what does the *Intelligencer* make by its motion to second the *Tribune*? "Only this, and nothing more"—that the *Tribune* admits "the report is not drawn, in all respects, with care." But the ancient and honorable *Intelligencer* omits to notice that the *Tribune* also says that the leading and substantial facts of the report remain unshaken. Let all the arid quibbling of the *Intelligencer* disprove this, if it can. The fact still remains that a part of the Army of the Potomac, under General Sumner, did, in effect, leave Harper's Ferry, if the party in command had been "competent" to avail himself of the relief, and the competent fact also remains that, if General McClellan had marched in a certain direction with more promptness, the relief of Harper's Ferry would have been complete. The *Intelligencer* can now easily see "military criticism and literary composition" on these two facts, meaning remembering, however, that facts are stubborn things.

In the third place, the *Intelligencer* attempts to be cuttingly severe on Gen. Halleck, by the artful dodge of coupling the evacuation of Winchester with the surrender of Harper's Ferry—two events that are as remote apart in this connection as the *Intelligencer* is from common sense. Gen. White was ordered by Gen. Halleck to march with his command to Harper's Ferry, but he found the arrangements of Col. Miles made in such a way, on his arrival at the Ferry, that it was impossible for him to change them, in time to save the post. He is, therefore, no more responsible for the surrender than he is for the delay of Gen. McClellan to march to his relief. The base design of the *Intelligencer* against Gen. Halleck, therefore, falls flat to the ground.

The President will not thank the *Intelligencer* for its fulsome allusion to him—evidently an afterthought, and not contemplated in the body of this immense "military criticism and literary composition." The President has of ten seen the *Intelligencer* at that stage. It is too much in the style of the New York *Herald*, which mendacious sheet is ever violently assailing the measures of the President, while fulsomely praising him as a man. Let the *Intelligencer*, then, continue to trot out its spurious and wind-broken span of "military criticism and literary composition." It does no harm to anybody, and affords amusement to those who know a war-horse from a saw-horse. Let our venerable contemporary keep mounted on its high old steed:

"And when he next shall ride abroad, May we be there to see."

Communicated.

Mr. Editor: Sir—Will you please insert in your excellent paper a few lines with reference to the lack of spiritual labor among those confined in the several hospitals in and around this city? We are not disposed to charge the chaplains with negligence of duty to the spiritual good of those over whom they have charge, as many of them are faithful and true to their work. Many of the hospitals contain six or eight hundred patients, which require more attention than one chaplain is able to give, hence, if some are to some extent neglected, no blame is attached to the chaplain. It appears to me that it is the duty of Christian men and women to do what they can for the spiritual good of the sick, and that they should be encouraged to do so.

We cheerfully give place to the above suggestions, and advise the religious people of Washington that herein is an opportunity for personal and individual effort in behalf of the religious interest of the soldiers, to which they may attend with a reasonable prospect of doing much good.—Ed. Harp.

"This is one of the great days of the world," said Gen. Hooker to the Intrepid Barry, as he rode by on the morning of the battle of Antietam. "The Southern rebellion lies coiled up in this valley, and it should be to-night in our power!"

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

THE DAILY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN is published every morning (Sundays excepted) by W. J. MURPHY & CO., and is furnished to city subscribers (by carrier) at 25 cents per month.

Mail subscribers, \$3.50 per annum; \$2 for six months, and \$1 for three months, invariably in advance.

Single copies, 5 cents.

EDITORIAL CRITIQUE OF MILITARY REPORTS.

It is a point very easily seen, that the junior of the *National Republican* is a gentleman of rare critical acumen and profound military knowledge. The recollections displayed by its erudition on these topics are truly wonderful.

"Take him for all in all, We never shall look upon his like again."

In the issue of this paper of Saturday last—true to the instincts of its antecedents, rather than to entertain the people as to what has been than to instruct them as to what is to be—it again takes up the Harper's Ferry report, and treats it as a subject of military interest, rather than as a subject of general interest, for the great purpose of airing its "military literature."

The contents of all this tedious twaddle is too transparent not to be perceived at a glance. In humble imitation of the rebel masked battery strategy, it makes a covert attack on the President, the Cabinet and General Halleck, thereby playing directly into the hands of armed traitors at the South, and their aiders and abettors at the North—an old game of the *Intelligencer*, as the inevitable whisper of its cowardly and temporizing policy.

In the first place, under the base pretence of "military criticism and literary composition," it aims a direct blow at General Halleck, by asserting that if General McClellan's march was slow from Washington, to attack Lee, General Halleck was slower from Pittsburgh Landing to Corinth; as if one delay, real or alleged, is a justification for another!

General Halleck is not on trial. When he is, it will be time enough for the *Intelligencer* to try on again its very astute "military criticism and literary composition." Until then, we most respectfully commend it to a careful study of its vast resources.

In the second place, this deeply learned reviewer attempts to show that this report abounds in "contradictions and misapprehensions." To prove this, it passes contemptuously by the general comments of the press, as embracing only "a few partisan phrases," and quotes, with great gusto, a solitary phrase of the New York *Tribune*, as coming from the only "intelligent journal" that has "ventured anything like an apology for the document." *Prosa!* What a change has come over the spirit of the dream of the venerable *Intelligencer*! How long is it since that paper has ceased to call the *Tribune* a "partisan sheet" of the most intense character? What its purpose induces it to quote that "radical" as "a single intelligent journal!"

Tempora mutantur, et nos mutamur in illis! Surely, the millennium cannot be far off, when the Washington *Intelligencer* quotes approvingly from the New York *Tribune*.

Now, what does the *Intelligencer* make by its motion to second the *Tribune*? "Only this, and nothing more"—that the *Tribune* admits "the report is not drawn, in all respects, with care." But the ancient and honorable *Intelligencer* omits to notice that the *Tribune* also says that the leading and substantial facts of the report remain unshaken. Let all the arid quibbling of the *Intelligencer* disprove this, if it can. The fact still remains that a part of the Army of the Potomac, under General Sumner, did, in effect, leave Harper's Ferry, if the party in command had been "competent" to avail himself of the relief, and the competent fact also remains that, if General McClellan had marched in a certain direction with more promptness, the relief of Harper's Ferry would have been complete. The *Intelligencer* can now easily see "military criticism and literary composition" on these two facts, meaning remembering, however, that facts are stubborn things.

In the third place, the *Intelligencer* attempts to be cuttingly severe on Gen. Halleck, by the artful dodge of coupling the evacuation of Winchester with the surrender of Harper's Ferry—two events that are as remote apart in this connection as the *Intelligencer* is from common sense. Gen. White was ordered by Gen. Halleck to march with his command to Harper's Ferry, but he found the arrangements of Col. Miles made in such a way, on his arrival at the Ferry, that it was impossible for him to change them, in time to save the post. He is, therefore, no more responsible for the surrender than he is for the delay of Gen. McClellan to march to his relief. The base design of the *Intelligencer* against Gen. Halleck, therefore, falls flat to the ground.

The President will not thank the *Intelligencer* for its fulsome allusion to him—evidently an afterthought, and not contemplated in the body of this immense "military criticism and literary composition." The President has of ten seen the *Intelligencer* at that stage. It is too much in the style of the New York *Herald*, which mendacious sheet is ever violently assailing the measures of the President, while fulsomely praising him as a man. Let the *Intelligencer*, then, continue to trot out its spurious and wind-broken span of "military criticism and literary composition." It does no harm to anybody, and affords amusement to those who know a war-horse from a saw-horse. Let our venerable contemporary keep mounted on its high old steed:

"And when he next shall ride abroad, May we be there to see."

Communicated.

Mr. Editor: Sir—Will you please insert in your excellent paper a few lines with reference to the lack of spiritual labor among those confined in the several hospitals in and around this city? We are not disposed to charge the chaplains with negligence of duty to the spiritual good of those over whom they have charge, as many of them are faithful and true to their work. Many of the hospitals contain six or eight hundred patients, which require more attention than one chaplain is able to give, hence, if some are to some extent neglected, no blame is attached to the chaplain. It appears to me that it is the duty of Christian men and women to do what they can for the spiritual good of the sick, and that they should be encouraged to do so.

We cheerfully give place to the above suggestions, and advise the religious people of Washington that herein is an opportunity for personal and individual effort in behalf of the religious interest of the soldiers, to which they may attend with a reasonable prospect of doing much good.—Ed. Harp.

"This is one of the great days of the world," said Gen. Hooker to the Intrepid Barry, as he rode by on the morning of the battle of Antietam. "The Southern rebellion lies coiled up in this valley, and it should be to-night in our power!"

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

THE DAILY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN is published every morning (Sundays excepted) by W. J. MURPHY & CO., and is furnished to city subscribers (by carrier) at 25 cents per month.

Mail subscribers, \$3.50 per annum; \$2 for six months, and \$1 for three months, invariably in advance.

Single copies, 5 cents.

EDITORIAL CRITIQUE OF MILITARY REPORTS.

It is a point very easily seen, that the junior of the *National Republican* is a gentleman of rare critical acumen and profound military knowledge. The recollections displayed by its erudition on these topics are truly wonderful.

"Take him for all in all, We never shall look upon his like again."

In the issue of this paper of Saturday last—true to the instincts of its antecedents, rather than to entertain the people as to what has been than to instruct them as to what is to be—it again takes up the Harper's Ferry report, and treats it as a subject of military interest, rather than as a subject of general interest, for the great purpose of airing its "military literature."

The contents of all this tedious twaddle is too transparent not to be perceived at a glance. In humble imitation of the rebel masked battery strategy, it makes a covert attack on the President, the Cabinet and General Halleck, thereby playing directly into the hands of armed traitors at the South, and their aiders and abettors at the North—an old game of the *Intelligencer*, as the inevitable whisper of its cowardly and temporizing policy.

In the first place, under the base pretence of "military criticism and literary composition," it aims a direct blow at General Halleck, by asserting that if General McClellan's march was slow from Washington, to attack Lee, General Halleck was slower from Pittsburgh Landing to Corinth; as if one delay, real or alleged, is a justification for another!

General Halleck is not on trial. When he is, it will be time enough for the *Intelligencer* to try on again its very astute "military criticism and literary composition." Until then, we most respectfully commend it to a careful study of its vast resources.

In the second place, this deeply learned reviewer attempts to show that this report abounds in "contradictions and misapprehensions." To prove this, it passes contemptuously by the general comments of the press, as embracing only "a few partisan phrases," and quotes, with great gusto, a solitary phrase of the New York *Tribune*, as coming from the only "intelligent journal" that has "ventured anything like an apology for the document." *Prosa!* What a change has come over the spirit of the dream of the venerable *Intelligencer*! How long is it since that paper has ceased to call the *Tribune* a "partisan sheet" of the most intense character? What its purpose induces it to quote that "radical" as "a single intelligent journal!"

Tempora mutantur, et nos mutamur in illis! Surely, the millennium cannot be far off, when the Washington *Intelligencer* quotes approvingly from the New York *Tribune*.

Now, what does the *Intelligencer* make by its motion to second the *Tribune*? "Only this, and nothing more"—that the *Tribune* admits "the report is not drawn, in all respects, with care." But the ancient and honorable *Intelligencer* omits to notice that the *Tribune* also says that the leading and substantial facts of the report remain unshaken. Let all the arid quibbling of the *Intelligencer* disprove this, if it can. The fact still remains that a part of the Army of the Potomac, under General Sumner, did, in effect, leave Harper's Ferry, if the party in command had been "competent" to avail himself of the relief, and the competent fact also remains that, if General McClellan had marched in a certain direction with more promptness, the relief of Harper's Ferry would have been complete. The *Intelligencer* can now easily see "military criticism and literary composition" on these two facts, meaning remembering, however, that facts are stubborn things.

In the third place, the *Intelligencer* attempts to be cuttingly severe on Gen. Halleck, by the artful dodge of coupling the evacuation of Winchester with the surrender of Harper's Ferry—two events that are as remote apart in this connection as the *Intelligencer* is from common sense. Gen. White was ordered by Gen. Halleck to march with his command to Harper's Ferry, but he found the arrangements of Col. Miles made in such a way, on his arrival at the Ferry, that it was impossible for him to change them, in time to save the post. He is, therefore, no more responsible for the surrender than he is for the delay of Gen. McClellan to march to his relief. The base design of the *Intelligencer* against Gen. Halleck, therefore, falls flat to the ground.

The President will not thank the *Intelligencer* for its fulsome allusion to him—evidently an afterthought, and not contemplated in the body of this immense "military criticism and literary composition." The President has of ten seen the *Intelligencer* at that stage. It is too much in the style of the New York *Herald*, which mendacious sheet is ever violently assailing the measures of the President, while fulsomely praising him as a man. Let the *Intelligencer*, then, continue to trot out its spurious and wind-broken span of "military criticism and literary composition." It does no harm to anybody, and affords amusement to those who know a war-horse from a saw-horse. Let our venerable contemporary keep mounted on its high old steed:

"And when he next shall ride abroad, May we be there to see."

Communicated.

Mr. Editor: Sir—Will you please insert in your excellent paper a few lines with reference to the lack of spiritual labor among those confined in the several hospitals in and around this city? We are not disposed to charge the chaplains with negligence of duty to the spiritual good of those over whom they have charge, as many of them are faithful and true to their work. Many of the hospitals contain six or eight hundred patients, which require more attention than one chaplain is able to give, hence, if some are to some extent neglected, no blame is attached to the chaplain. It appears to me that it is the duty of Christian men and women to do what they can for the spiritual good of the sick, and that they should be encouraged to do so.

We cheerfully give place to the above suggestions, and advise the religious people of Washington that herein is an opportunity for personal and individual effort in behalf of the religious interest of the soldiers, to which they may attend with a reasonable prospect of doing much good.—Ed. Harp.

"This is one of the great days of the world," said Gen. Hooker to the Intrepid Barry, as he rode by on the morning of the battle of Antietam. "The Southern rebellion lies coiled up in this valley, and it should be to-night in our power!"

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

THE DAILY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN is published every morning (Sundays excepted) by W. J. MURPHY & CO., and is furnished to city subscribers (by carrier) at 25 cents per month.

Mail subscribers, \$3.50 per annum; \$2 for six months, and \$1 for three months, invariably in advance.

Single copies, 5 cents.

EDITORIAL CRITIQUE OF MILITARY REPORTS.

It is a point very easily seen, that the junior of the *National Republican* is a gentleman of rare critical acumen and profound military knowledge. The recollections displayed by its erudition on these topics are truly wonderful.

"Take him for all in all, We never shall look upon his like again."

In the issue of this paper of Saturday last—true to the instincts of its antecedents, rather than to entertain the people as to what has been than to instruct them as to what is to be—it again takes up the Harper's Ferry report, and treats it as a subject of military interest, rather than as a subject of general interest, for the great purpose of airing its "military literature."

The contents of all this tedious twaddle is too transparent not to be perceived at a glance. In humble imitation of the rebel masked battery strategy, it makes a covert attack on the President, the Cabinet and General Halleck, thereby playing directly into the hands of armed traitors at the South, and their aiders and abettors at the North—an old game of the *Intelligencer*, as the inevitable whisper of its cowardly and temporizing policy.

In the first place, under the base pretence of "military criticism and literary composition," it aims a direct blow at General Halleck, by asserting that if General McClellan's march was slow from Washington, to attack Lee, General Halleck was slower from Pittsburgh Landing to Corinth; as if one delay, real or alleged, is a justification for another!

General Halleck is not on trial. When he is, it will be time enough for the *Intelligencer* to try on again its very astute "military criticism and literary composition." Until then, we most respectfully commend it to a careful study of its vast resources.

In the second place, this deeply learned reviewer attempts to show that this report abounds in "contradictions and misapprehensions." To prove this, it passes contemptuously by the general comments of the press, as embracing only "a few partisan phrases," and quotes, with great gusto, a solitary phrase of the New York *Tribune*, as coming from the only "intelligent journal" that has "ventured anything like an apology for the document." *Prosa!* What a change has come over the spirit of the dream of the venerable *Intelligencer*! How long is it since that paper has ceased to call the *Tribune* a "partisan sheet" of the most intense character? What its purpose induces it to quote that "radical" as "a single intelligent journal!"

Tempora mutantur, et nos mutamur in illis! Surely, the millennium cannot be far off, when the Washington *Intelligencer* quotes approvingly from the New York *Tribune*.

Now, what does the *Intelligencer* make by its motion to second the *Tribune*? "Only this, and nothing more"—that the *Tribune* admits "the report is not drawn, in all respects, with care." But the ancient and honorable *Intelligencer* omits to notice that the *Tribune* also says that the leading and substantial facts of the report remain unshaken. Let all the arid quibbling of the *Intelligencer* disprove this, if it can. The fact still remains that a part of the Army of the Potomac, under General Sumner, did, in effect, leave Harper's Ferry, if the party in command had been "competent" to avail himself of the relief, and the competent fact also remains that, if General McClellan had marched in a certain direction with more promptness, the relief of Harper's Ferry would have been complete. The *Intelligencer* can now easily see "military criticism and literary composition" on these two facts, meaning remembering, however, that facts are stubborn things.

In the third place, the *Intelligencer* attempts to be cuttingly severe on Gen. Halleck, by the artful dodge of coupling the evacuation of Winchester with the surrender of Harper's Ferry—two events that are as remote apart in this connection as the *Intelligencer* is from common sense. Gen. White was ordered by Gen. Halleck to march with his command to Harper's Ferry, but he found the arrangements of Col. Miles made in such a way, on his arrival at the Ferry, that it was impossible for him to change them, in time to save the post. He is, therefore, no more responsible for the surrender than he is for the delay of Gen. McClellan to march to his relief. The base design of the *Intelligencer* against Gen. Halleck, therefore, falls flat to the ground.

The President will not thank the *Intelligencer* for its fulsome allusion to him—evidently an afterthought, and not contemplated in the body of this immense "military criticism and literary composition." The President has of ten seen the *Intelligencer* at that stage. It is too much in the style of the New York *Herald*, which mendacious sheet is ever violently assailing the measures of the President, while fulsomely praising him as a man. Let the *Intelligencer*, then, continue to trot out its spurious and wind-broken span of "military criticism and literary composition." It does no harm to anybody, and affords amusement to those who know a war-horse from a saw-horse. Let our venerable contemporary keep mounted on its high old steed:

"And when he next shall ride abroad, May we be there to see."

Communicated.

Mr. Editor: Sir—Will you please insert in your excellent paper a few lines with reference to the lack of spiritual labor among those confined in the several hospitals in and around this city? We are not disposed to charge the chaplains with negligence of duty to the spiritual good of those over whom they have charge, as many of them are faithful and true to their work. Many of the hospitals contain six or eight hundred patients, which require more attention than one chaplain is able to give, hence, if some are to some extent neglected, no blame is attached to the chaplain. It appears to me that it is the duty of Christian men and women to do what they can for the spiritual good of the sick, and that they should be encouraged to do so.

We cheerfully give place to the above suggestions, and advise the religious people of Washington that herein is an opportunity for personal and individual effort in behalf of the religious interest of the soldiers, to which they may attend with a reasonable prospect of doing much good.—Ed. Harp.

"This is one of the great days of the world," said Gen. Hooker to the Intrepid Barry, as he rode by on the morning of the battle of Antietam. "The Southern rebellion lies coiled up in this valley, and it should be to-night in our power!"

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Partnership heretofore existing between LYLE & COFFIN is this day dissolved by mutual consent.

JOHN G. LYLE, J. A. COFFIN.

John G. Lyle still continues the business at the old stand.

Washington, July 21, 1862.

THE DAILY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN is published every morning (Sundays excepted) by W. J. MURPHY & CO., and is furnished to city subscribers (by carrier) at 25 cents per month.

Mail subscribers, \$3.50 per annum; \$2 for six months, and \$1 for three months, invariably in advance.

Single copies, 5 cents.

EDITORIAL CRITIQUE OF MILITARY REPORTS.

It is a point very easily seen, that the junior of the *National Republican* is a gentleman of rare critical acumen and profound military knowledge. The recollections displayed by its erudition on these topics are truly wonderful.

"Take him for all in all, We never shall look upon his like again."

In the issue of this paper of Saturday last—true to the instincts of its antecedents, rather than to entertain the people as to what has been than to instruct them as to what is to be—it again takes up the Harper's Ferry report, and treats it as a subject of military interest, rather than as a subject of general interest, for the great purpose of airing its "military literature."

The contents of all this tedious twaddle is too transparent not to be perceived at a glance. In humble imitation of the rebel masked battery strategy, it makes a covert attack on the President, the Cabinet and General Halleck, thereby playing directly into the hands of armed traitors